DIRECTOR’S NOTE

Greetings and happy spring from the University of Pittsburgh Institute of Politics! After a year full of changes at the Institute, we have embarked on several new projects and ventures that have grown from the Institute’s community listening tour in early 2015 and from recommendations made by our Board of Fellows and key community partners. This issue of Report will cover these new initiatives in greater detail.

In a departure from tradition, the Institute’s Board of Fellows approved not one but two critical topics for discussion at the annual Elected Officials Retreat in September 2015: the social and political economy of poverty and a review of the criminal justice system. As reflected in the Elected Officials Retreat summary included in this issue of Report, the conversation on poverty was compelling, in no small part due to the bipartisan conversation that took place between the legislative panel and attendees on the afternoon of Thursday, September 10. No less compelling was the Friday, September 11, discussion of the criminal justice system. The data, experiences, and perspectives shared stimulated both thoughtful discussion and a request from Allegheny County Executive RICH FITZGERALD for the Institute to continue this work by exploring the ways that we might improve both the cost-effectiveness and fairness of our jailing policies and procedures while also maintaining high levels of public safety. The Institute has continued these conversations by convening regional experts and conducting in-depth research on both of these topics.

(continued on page 2)

Institute staff members gather with the members of the newly formed Elsie Hillman Civic Forum National Advisory Council.
The Municipal Poverty Advisory Committee, a subcommittee of the Health and Human Services Policy Committee, began meeting in August. The committee is examining wealth and income inequality, education, and housing and transportation issues and is developing a plan of action and recommendations for public, private, and nonprofit organizations to impact poverty issues in all municipalities, with a specific focus on the challenges of combating poverty in suburban communities.

To advance the criminal justice conversation, the Institute has convened a special task force of experts, including criminal justice professionals, academic researchers, elected officials, and key community leaders, to examine all points of the criminal justice system, from entry through incarceration, with the goal of developing policy options and recommendations for consideration by elected officials, civic leaders, and criminal justice professionals. This task force is cochaired by Pitt’s Chancellor Emeritus and Institute Chair MARK A. NORDENBERG and Buhl Foundation President FRED THIEMAN. The task force convened for the first time in November 2015, and subsequent meetings will be held through the summer of 2016, with a report resulting from its work scheduled for the fall retreat.

In addition to these and other projects of our policy committees, the Institute is excited about the launch of the Elsie Hillman Civic Forum. Created through a generous endowment from HENRY HILLMAN through the Henry L. Hillman Foundation, the Elsie Forum, as we have come to call it, is designed to engage students by aligning their academic interests with civic engagement activities that will tap into and develop their leadership abilities while providing them with unique opportunities to tackle real community problems with strategic community partners.

Two student programs are already under way—Legislator for a Day and the Internship and Seminar Program—and three new programs are under development, including the March 24, 2016, University-wide Never a Spectator student launch of the Elsie Forum! This event will include a keynote address on the importance of civic engagement by a young community activist and an opportunity for students to network with emerging and seasoned cross-sector leaders from the greater Pittsburgh region. The general aim of the event is to inform students about all of the programs of the Elsie Forum and to communicate to them that they, in ELSIE HILLMAN’S words, “are needed in every corner of our community.”

At the Institute believe that it is both an honor and our responsibility to serve as good stewards of Hillman’s sustained and rich legacy of activism in a meaningful way, and we could not accomplish our mission without the active involvement of the Elsie Hillman Civic Forum National Advisory Council. This group of esteemed leaders is assisting the staff in charting a bold course for leadership development and engaged citizenship of young scholars who possess the character traits evocative of Hillman.

This issue features an overview of the first meeting of the advisory council, which occurred in October 2015. I look forward to keeping you apprised of the activities and accomplishments of the Elsie Forum as we all work to ensure that her legacy is remembered, honored, and advanced.

I hope you enjoy this edition of Report.

TERRY MILLER
Director, Institute of Politics

19TH ANNUAL ELECTED OFFICIALS RETREAT
THE SOCIAL AND POLITICAL ECONOMY OF POVERTY AND MASS INCARCERATION IN AMERICA: COSTS AND CONSEQUENCES PROVIDE CAUSE FOR CHANGE?

PROGRAM AGENDA
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2015
WELCOME TERRY MILLER, director, University of Pittsburgh Institute of Politics and Elsie Hillman Civic Forum
PRESENTATION OF COLEMAN AWARD MARK A. NORDENBERG, chancellor emeritus; Distinguished Service Professor of Law and chair, Institute of Politics, University of Pittsburgh
INTRODUCTIONS AND RETREAT OVERVIEW TERRY MILLER
EXPERIENCING POVERTY: NATIONAL TRENDS ALEXANDRA MURPHY, assistant professor, Department of Sociology, University of Michigan
OPEN DISCUSSION Moderated by WALTER SMITH, deputy director, Office of Children, Youth and Families, Allegheny County Department of Human Services
SPEAKER INTRODUCTIONS MARK A. NORDENBERG
BEYOND POVERTY OVERVIEW DAVE REED, majority leader, Pennsylvania House of Representatives
PERSPECTIVES ON MOVING FORWARD PANEL DISCUSSION Moderated by MAXWELL KING, president and CEO, The Pittsburgh Foundation
• Community Voice Panel (video)
• ESTHER BUSH, president and CEO, Urban League of Greater Pittsburgh
• MARC CHERNA, director, Allegheny County Department of Human Services
• PHILIP J. IGEL, judge, Criminal Division, Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas
• LATORIA WARREN, deputy warden, Allegheny County Jail

COMMENTS RICH FITZGERALD, county executive, Allegheny County

WORKING SESSIONS FACILITATORS:
• JANICE DEAN, director, Allegheny County Criminal Justice Council
• ERIC HOLMES, commander, City of Pittsburgh Bureau of Police
• LARRY SCOTT, director, Allegheny County Office of the Public Defender

WORKING SESSION REVIEW MARC CHERNA
CLOSING REMARKS MARK A. NORDENBERG

INTRODUCTION OF UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH CHANCELLOR PATRICK GALLAGHER MARK A. NORDENBERG
UNIVERSITY WELCOME PATRICK GALLAGHER
RECEPTION AND DINNER

SPEAKER INTRODUCTIONS TERRY MILLER
INTRODUCTION OF POVERTY IN RURAL, SUBURBAN, AND URBAN AMERICA DAN FRANKEL

GEOGRAPHY AND INEQUALITY DISCUSSION Moderated by WALTER SMITH, featuring ALEXANDRA MURPHY

ROUND TABLE DISCUSSIONS
CLOSING REMARKS MORTON COLEMAN, director emeritus, University of Pittsburgh Institute of Politics

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 2015
MASS INCARCERATION IN AMERICA: NATIONAL MOMENTUM FOR CHANGE MARK A. NORDENBERG

A CLOSER LOOK: JAIL POPULATION IN ALLEGHENY COUNTY—PRESERVING PUBLIC SAFETY, ENHANCING FAIRNESS, AND CONTROLLING COSTS FRED THIEMAN, former U.S. attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania and president, The Buhl Foundation

DISCUSSION OF JAILING POLICIES AND PRACTICES Moderated by DAVID KARR, distinguished faculty Scholar and professor of law, University of Pittsburgh School of Law
• CAMERON CLAY, chief, City of Pittsburgh Bureau of Police
• THOMAS McCAFFREY, administrator, Criminal Division, Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas
• STEPHEN A. APPALULA, district attorney, Allegheny County
• ELIOT HOWSE, chief public defender, Allegheny County
• PHILIP J. IGEL, judge, Criminal Division, Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas

• LATORIA WARREN, deputy warden, Allegheny County Jail

CLOSING REMARKS RICH FITZGERALD, county executive, Allegheny County

WORKING SESSION REVIEW MARC CHERNA
CLOSING REMARKS MARK A. NORDENBERG
More than 100 elected officials and foundation and community leaders filled the ballroom of the Sheraton Station Square on September 10 and 11, 2015, to discuss two issues of critical importance to Western Pennsylvania—poverty and criminal justice.

In her opening remarks, Institute Director TERRY MILLER paid special tribute to Pittsburgh legend ELSIE HILLMAN and noted that the Institute has a special role to play in preserving Hillman’s legacy through the Elsie Hillman Civic Forum, a newly created endowed center operating under the aegis of Institute that will empower young people in the region to become engaged citizens and community leaders.

University of Pittsburgh Chancellor Emeritus and Institute of Politics Chair MARK A. NORDENBERG presented the 2015 Coleman Award to BILL ISLER and CHUCK QUEENAN. The Coleman Award, named for Institute founder and Director Emeritus MOE COLEMAN, is given annually at the retreat to an individual or individuals who have demonstrated a lifetime of service to the Pittsburgh region.

Nordenberg remarked that Isler has devoted his life to improving the lives of children through his work in developing educational children’s television programming and his efforts in leading the Pittsburgh Public Schools board. In his acceptance speech, Isler explained that, following his election to the school board for the first time, Coleman was instrumental in helping him to gain the trust of his fellow board members, which in turn enabled him to play a leadership role on the board.

Queenan, a lawyer with K&L Gates since 1956, has an established reputation in the fields of business and tax law. His efforts in the business community, however, have been at least equally matched by his involvement in community and civic affairs throughout his career, including his service on numerous nonprofit boards and overseeing the creation and envisioning the structure of the Allegheny Regional Asset District, which has been so many organizations in the region since its inception. Queenan also credited Coleman’s service to the community as an inspiration and praised his decades of experience and knowledge of the area’s history, which allows us to understand better how and why things have occurred in our region.

POVERTY IN THE UNITED STATES

The presentation of the Coleman Awards, with its focus on service to others, served as a fitting transition to the introduction of Wednesday’s topic: poverty. In her remarks, Miller offered some sobering statistics and a personal story that helped to set the stage for the following presentations and discussions.

REMARKS OF INSTITUTE OF POLITICS DIRECTOR TERRY MILLER

It is my pleasure to welcome you to the Institute’s 19th Annual Elected Officials Retreat that we are honored to cohost with the University of Pittsburgh Office of the Chancellor. Chancellor PATRICK GALLAGHER will be here later this afternoon to provide an official University welcome.

I missed the last two retreats due to non-elective surgery, so I’m particularly delighted to be here, especially as we look to take on two critically important regional issues.

As much as you know, we have a very special way that we kick off the retreat each year, and that is by recognizing exceptional individuals who have made a lasting impact on our community by presenting them with the Coleman Award, or “The Moe,” as some of the past awardees have come to call it. It is named for our founding director, Moe Coleman, who has left his indelible good mark on countless individuals, organizations, institutions, and our community at large, evidenced by his personal example of community engagement and sustained generosity. I will get Mark Nordenberg up here in a minute to do the honors, but I would be remiss if I did not take a moment to mention another important person to the Institute and one who also has left her good mark on her beloved Pittsburgh. And I am, of course, referring to our dear Elsie Hillman.

Elsie’s passing in August triggered both widespread mourning and a deep sense of gratitude and awe from those who had known her well. I believe we all will agree that Elsie was a true tour de force, working tirelessly to improve the condition of those who lived here in her beloved Pittsburgh as well as in far more distant places. Elsie’s accomplishments were borne of a strong mind, a caring heart, and a generous spirit, and she often stood up for those things in which she believed even when those causes were not embraced by others.

And Elsie was an alchemist—transforming the world through her humanitarian and philanthropic deeds. Her inspired entrepreneurship, her special gift to relate empathetically to others, her dedication to social justice, and her unique ability to will into being the unimaginable have left us with a legacy to remember, honor, and advance.

Of course, the Institute of Politics has a distinctive opportunity and responsibility to serve as an institutional steward of Elsie’s remarkable legacy. Less than two years ago, HENRY HILLMAN, Elsie’s loving husband and partner for 70 years, generously awarded IPF an endowment to establish the Elsie Hillman Civic Forum. The “Elsie Forum,” as we have come to call it, will engage students in activities that will prepare them to lead lives as engaged and contributing citizens. Among other things, and reflecting the pattern of her own life, the Elsie Forum will bring community leaders and young people together for educational programs, research projects, and mentoring opportunities designed to foster student interest and involvement in fueling civic progress in the Pittsburgh region.

Queenan also credited his career, including his service on numerous nonprofit boards and overseeing the creation and envisioning the structure of the Allegheny Regional Asset District, which has been so many organizations in the region since its inception. Queenan also credited Coleman’s service to the community as an inspiration and praised his decades of experience and knowledge of the area’s history, which allows us to understand better how and why things have occurred in our region.

I was one of those kids.

My father walked out on my mother, brother, and me when I was born. It was the 1950s, and the three of us shared one-bedroom quarters in Apartment #2 of Building #3 in Courtyard #7 in Arlington Heights. My mother suffered from diabetes and mental health issues. Financial support from my father was spotty at best, and because my parents were not divorced, we were not then eligible for government assistance. But we qualified for a food program where we went once a month to stand in the food line at the old Croatian Center on the South Side. There we would get powdered eggs and milk, blocks of cheese, vats of peanut butter, and cans of Spam if the getting was good. And I remember two things with exquisite clarity about that experience: I recall the shame I felt as people walked or rode by looking at us “poor” folks living on the dole. I remember wishing myself invisible.

And the other thing I remember is that as much as I hated that, I feared even more that without this food, my mother, sick with diabetes, might die if she didn’t have it. There were many nights in my childhood when we had to figure out who was going to get a meal, who would go without, or if we would purchase food with the limited resources we had or if a utility bill or doctor bill would get paid. When my mother was sick, which was often, these decisions fell to me to make.

Around the time I was 10, we became eligible for government assistance and food stamps. This meant that we could go to the market on a pretty regular basis. I loved everything about it—shopping, the sights, sounds, and smells. But then at the end, when we had to pay for the food with those dreadful food stamps, it seemed as though time stood still.
Even today, I can see my mother’s hands shaking as she tries to tear the right stamps out of the right books. I can see the look of disgust on the face of the checkout clerk and hear the people huffing in the checkout line.

I remember one time in the A&P in Mount Oliver, a woman saying, “If she can’t afford to feed those kids, she shouldn’t have them,” and how fear shot through me that someone would take me away from my mother.

I watched, over time, as welfare and government assistance wore down and eroded my mother’s dignity and self-esteem, evident to me by the endless hours she spent on the couch. Diabetic and depressed, it was all she could do to keep up with doctor and caseworker appointments. She was overwhelmed and confused by information she received and fully ashamed and humiliated for having gotten her family in this situation.

There is nothing like seeing the one person you love and depend on the most in the world sick, hungry, and despiring and fearing that someone is going to take her away from you to get you thinking that something is terribly wrong with the world.

I end my personal story here because I want to mindfully begin our poverty story and mass incarceration policy dialogue with a reflection on the word “shame” and even after the notion of a personal and political shame poverty nexus that might help explain, in some measure, the persistence of poverty.

On the personal level, people like my mother 50 years ago and, today, the 42 million women and 28 million children who depend on them live one incident—one doctor’s bill, one late paycheck, one unexpected illness, one broken-down car—away from economic ruin. These are our fellow citizens who are living on the brink of poverty, living with shame and a sense of powerlessness because the cause of their situation may not be of their own making. So, those are the living-on-the-brink people.

Then there are those who go one step forward and two steps back. These are people living in poverty at the edge of the “benefits cliff,” or the working poor, 10.5 million Americans or 7.2 percent of the labor force. And research shows that the effect of this benefits cliff is the single greatest barrier to economic ruin. These are our fellow citizens who are living on the brink of poverty, living with shame and a sense of powerlessness because the cause of their situation may not be of their own making. So, those are the living-on-the-brink people.

We protect ourselves from the shame of poverty by touting cherished American values of individualism, self-sufficiency, and personal responsibility. True, many of the poor make bad choices. Some don’t want to work, some are so traumatized by the chronic stress of poverty that they suffer from shame, poor self-esteem, and even depression. Now whether these personal characteristics are the causes of poverty or the consequences of it is debatable, but there can be no debate that those who are poor and those who are not poor share these personal characteristics. Many Americans, poor and nonpoor alike, have fallen short of their obligations as citizens, but America also has failed to live up to its commitments to its citizens as well. Individuals are held accountable for their personal economic well-being, but the nation also holds responsibility for the social and economic conditions that propel some into economic success and others into poverty.

And we know that America has a history of holding polarizing explanations of poverty over others. So shame in relation to poverty is constructed, a dynamic interaction of internally felt inadequacies and externally inflicted judgments.

Let’s face it: Being poor in America is viewed as not being proper; it is humiliating and disgraceful. But here’s the catch, not only for the poor but also for all Americans who tolerate it. Think about it. If the wealthiest nation in the world tolerates more than 15 percent of its residents living in poverty, including more than 20 percent of its children, what does that say about us as a nation?

We start with a true expert on the issue of poverty, ALEXANDRA MURPHY, who is an assistant professor in the Department of Sociology at the University of Michigan. Murphy’s research uses ethnographic methods to examine how poverty and inequality are experienced, structured, and reproduced across and within multiple domains of social life, including neighborhoods, social networks, and the like. Today, she will provide us with a national overview of poverty trends and also will introduce the topic of the changing geography of poverty that will be the focus of our discussions later today.

One of our local shining stars in social welfare, WALTER SMITH, will moderate what should be a lively discussion with Murphy. Smith currently serves as the deputy director for the Office of Children, Youth and Families at the Allegheny County Department of Human Services and was a longtime director of Family Resources, a nonprofit focused on the prevention of child abuse and neglect. Full bios on all of our speakers are in your packets.

As we move into this retreat, I would like to leave you with some words from Elsie. This was the last interview she did for Pittsburgh Quarterly magazine. She said, “Pittsburghers of considerable means must learn to be concerned about what’s going on around them in our community. We don’t live alone. None of us are islands. We must pay attention to the needs of others. After all, that’s what community is about.”

I hope that this retreat will provide you with good information and greater confidence as you go forward in your deliberations on these matters. Thank you for being here.
sources of assistance of rides, food, or child care and often help the poor Opening speaker ALEXANDRA MURPHY, who contributed a landmark publication titled Confronting Suburban Poverty in America and did graduate work on poverty in the Pittsburgh suburb of Penn Hills, offered remarks on national trends, beginning with current demographics. • Currently, one in seven Americans lives below the poverty line. • While the War on Poverty helped to stabilize the overall rate of poverty, different groups of people are now being affected more than others, including women, children, female-headed families, and those living in the South. • Forty percent of American workers are near poor or poor, and of the near poor, nearly 70 percent are working. • Poverty is more mainstream, with 62 percent of Americans experiencing at least one year of poverty during their lifetimes. • More Americans are experiencing extreme poverty (living on less than $2 per day). Murphy then identified several contributing factors to these demographic changes, including the following: • A rise in mass incarceration (examined more closely on day two of the retreat) • A decline in class mobility, or the ability to leave the wealth quintile in which one was born (This is especially true for Blacks, 53 percent of whom are raised in the bottom wealth quintile and, even if born in another quintile, are far more likely than other groups to have a downward wealth trajectory.) • Weakening informal social ties, which can take the form of rides, food, or child care and often help the poor or near poor to bridge the gaps left from more formal sources of assistance • A reconcentration of poverty in already-poor areas, which exacerbates conditions for those living there and contributes to the intergenerational nature of poverty

The subsequent open discussion, moderated by Walter Smith, offered a look at people- versus place-based strategies, to which Murphy responded that both are needed.

POVERTY IN PENNSYLVANIA
Next, Pennsylvania House of Representatives Majority Leader DAVE REED spoke about the listening tour that he organized while serving as chair of the House Majority Policy Committee. The committee’s findings, published in the report Beyond Poverty, were outlined in Reed’s remarks, and include: • 13 barriers to escaping poverty identified by the committee, connected by the common thread of preventing people from obtaining or maintaining family-sustaining jobs; • best practices among human service agencies across the commonwealth; and • five key policy strategies to address poverty at the state level.

More information and a copy of the report can be viewed at www.pahousegop.info/docs/Reed beyondpovertrreport2014/index.html.

Subsequently, Reed emphasized the importance of developing meaningful metrics to measure the success of the commonwealth’s antipoverty programs. He noted that House Bill (HB) 1205 would authorize the commonwealth to partner with the Pew-MacArthur Results First Initiative to conduct cost-benefit analyses on assistance and other programs currently in place in Pennsylvania and potentially recommend changes. HB 1205 passed the house in early 2015 and remains in the Senate State Government Committee.

Reed also referenced HB 1164, which would, if passed, help to alleviate the “benefits cliff” for child care subsidy recipients. The committee found during its listening tour that the benefits cliff often experienced by recipients of assistance was preventing people from taking higher-wage jobs and promotions. HB 1164 would attempt to remedy this by restructuring the income ratio used to provide the subsidies in order to allow for a more gradualized step-down. This legislation also passed the house and is currently in the senate awaiting review.

Reed concluded by acknowledging that there is no “silver bullet” to end poverty but that through incremental changes, legislators and others can take steps to improve lives in a meaningful way.

POVERTY IN SOUTHWESTERN PENNSYLVANIA
Reed then joined a panel of his colleagues and other community leaders on the topic of Moving Forward. The panel began with an introduction by moderator MAX KING, who, noting that the Pittsburgh region is home to 500,000 poor or near poor individuals, announced The Pittsburgh Foundation’s 100 Percent Pittsburgh initiative. The initiative’s goal is to ensure that all residents benefit from the region’s recent renaissance and current growth, and that no one gets left behind. He then introduced a video featuring three personal perspectives on what it’s like to try to escape poverty today.

In the subsequent discussion moderated by King, panelists and attendees alike praised the Beyond Poverty report, Reed’s work in leading the committee, and his courage in taking on this difficult topic.

Panelists also offered additional statistics about poverty in the region. ESTHER BUSH, president and CEO of the Urban League of Pittsburgh, noted that Pittsburgh has the highest number of unemployed working-age Blacks of any metropolitan area in the country as well as one of the highest poverty rates for children under 5.

Suggestions for moving forward included addressing people’s basic needs first so that other programs designed to help people escape poverty are more effective. Addressing the benefits cliff and providing higher-quality educational opportunities, especially prekindergarten programs, for all children also were mentioned. Both topics are addressed in the Beyond Poverty report.

All panelists concluded that, in order to take on the issue of poverty, greater collaboration is needed between all stakeholders, including legislators and service providers.

POVERTY ACROSS GEOGRAPHY
After a reception and dinner, DAN FRANKEL, cochair of the Institute’s Health and Human Services Policy Committee and cochair of the subcommittee on municipal poverty, gathered participants for a discussion on poverty in rural, suburban, and urban areas. He noted that while rural and urban poverty have persisted over decades, there has been a more recent growth in suburban poverty. Frankel remarked that from 1970 to 2012, the suburban share of poverty increased by more than 8 percent, and 55 percent of low-income Americans live in the suburbs.

Frankel suggested that poverty is a problem that is not limited by geography but is instead impacted by it. Neighborhoods of concentrated poverty often isolate residents from the resources and networks they need to reach their potential. In addition, individuals living in impoverished neighborhoods often experience lower-quality health as a result of environmental issues, lower-quality schools and fewer educational opportunities, and disproportionate rates of crime.

In conclusion, Frankel applauded the Institute for taking on the issue of poverty and asked that attendees commit to working together to help the region to develop a better understanding of the causes of poverty and to formulate public policy to address it.

GEOGRAPHY AND INEQUALITY
Following Frankel’s introduction of the topic, keynote speaker Alex Murphy joined attendees again for a discussion moderated by Walter Smith. Currently serving as deputy director of the Office of Children, Youth and Families in the Allegheny County Department of Human Services, Smith has a long history of helping to provide human services to underprivileged children and families in the region.

OPENING SPEAKER

ALEXANDRA MURPHY

Coauthor of the recently released report 8

THE UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH

CHANCELLOR EMERITUS NORDENBERG

thanked the Moving Forward panelists for the thought-provoking discussion and then introduced University of Pittsburgh Chancellor Patrick Gallagher. Chancellor Gallagher thanked participants for attending and spoke about the University’s role in the larger community, noting that he hoped to encourage students to engage in experience-based learning throughout the region. He also expressed the University’s commitment to working with other partners to create technology-driven economic growth that offers a place for all residents, including those currently experiencing poverty.
Murphy told about her experiences as a resident of Penn Hills for three and a half years while she completed an ethnographic study on the area in order to learn more about the issues facing low income suburban families. Key takeaways from her research in the region were the following:

- Transportation and mobility issues are critical to low-income suburban families, many of whom, in the absence of reliable public transit, rely primarily on informal networks to get to work, stores, medical appointments, and service agencies.
- Because of transportation difficulties, people living in or near poverty often pay with their time; after transit cuts left limited bus service to Penn Hills, residents often spent two to three hours a day commuting to and from work.
- Lack of transportation, especially to certain pockets of neighborhoods, often leaves even human service providers unaware of residents’ needs.

Murphy suggested that engaging low-income residents in policy discussions about transit and transportation would be key to addressing this problem in a meaningful way.

ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSIONS

Attendees divided into small groups to focus on the barriers to escaping poverty that are featured in the Beyond Poverty report. The following paragraphs provide a summary of their discussions. Following the small group discussions, Moe Coleman, the Institute’s director emeritus, provided closing remarks.

SOCIAL SUPPORTS

Social supports have long served as anchors in communities, often providing short-term assistance for individuals and families overcoming obstacles. However, while urban areas may develop strong social supports among neighbors due to their proximity, the distance between homes in suburban and rural communities can pose a challenge to forming social ties. In other areas, a lack of physical infrastructure, such as sidewalks and community buildings, and unaffordable housing that continue to rise can all weaken social support networks, while adequate transportation and increasing technology for online communities can work to strengthen them.

HEALTH CARE

Nonurban areas across the country are suffering from a shortage of primary care and federally qualified health care centers. In rural areas with low population density, transporta-
tion and distance can be an impediment to quality health care access. In some cases, rural medical centers can address these issues through the use of telemedicine. Programs assisting with student loan debt for primary care physicians willing to locate outside cities as well as offer other steps taken to strengthen the education and career pathways in all schools could be helpful. Increased funding to community health care centers from the Affordable Care Act also may provide a solution.

CRIMINAL RECORD

Criminal records can prevent individuals from being hired, obtaining loans, or getting into college. A “ban the box” policy could assist applicants in allowing them to avoid being defined by their criminal history by preventing employers from asking about it until later in the hiring process. The government also could provide liability protections for organizations that hire ex-offenders from any future criminal actions the ex-offenders might take. On the other side, low-income individuals often are incarcerated as a result of being unable to pay bail, or they hastily accept pleas in order to return to precarious employment situations. In some cases, diversionary courts may be able to provide a faster-moving docket or social services to relieve the issues faced by low-income defendants.

EDUCATION

Budget cuts at the state or national level disproportionately affect schools in poorer communities. While richer communities often can make up for this monetary loss by fundraising or increasing taxes, in poorer communities, those are not options. With less money to go around, schools in poor communities must make cuts to make up the difference, and as the opportuni-
ties in the schools begin to diminish, all too often the students’ test scores follow. A bipartisan solution to address the issue of education funding also would make a world of difference in access to quality education for all students. Until that can occur, partnership of different school districts and superintendents to ensure quality education for all students could be an effective route.

HOMELESSNESS

For homeless individuals, there is not always a clear path of transition through the system. In this region, we have both an inefficient system and a shortage of proper shelters. An increase in both affordable housing and the provision of social services would help those experiencing homelessness. Some work has been done to provide successful solutions to this issue. Replicating programs like the Urban League of Greater Pittsburgh’s programs for renters and the CORI program in Illinois and Massachusetts, which prevents landlords from searching previously expunged records, as well as increasing funding for the State Housing Trust Fund and creating special programs geared toward people who were formerly incarcerated could be successful.

HUNGER

Suburban and rural sprawl did not take into account how people access food or other services, leaving many people isolated and without their basic needs being met. A lack of transportation options available in many counties can make purchasing food difficult. Food banks have played an important role in reducing hunger in rural areas, but not all communities have sponsored programs. In addition, individuals may not know how to prepare or cook the food given to them, resulting in a lack of healthy meals. There also is a stigma around participating in assistance programs. Overall, individuals must take responsibility for the community and understand that even if hunger isn’t directly impacting them, it is impacting their community, and they have a role in addressing the issue.

MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE

In rural and suburban areas, accessing mental health and substance abuse services can be difficult. This hardship can be made worse for low-income residents due to several other negative impacts of receiving treatment. For example, treatment for these issues can be disruptive to the daily life of low-income patients, many of whom do not have jobs that allow them time off to seek help. In order to better address the needs of residents with mental health or substance abuse issues, increased funding and improved service delivery is needed. Better training and awareness of mental health and substance abuse among hospital staff members and police officers also could lead to quicker diagnosis and proper assistance.

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 2015

MASS INCARCERATION IN AMERICA

Chancellor Emeritus Nordenberg opened Friday’s program with an overview of the criminal justice system today. His remarks are reprinted here in full.

MASS INCARCERATION IN AMERICA: NATIONAL MOMENTUM FOR CHANGE

In 1936, Clarence Darrow—a lawyer nearly as famous as FRED THIEMAN, from whom you will hear momentarily—wrote an article for Esquire magazine. It was entitled “How to Pick a Jury.”

In whatever period he might have lived, Clarence Darrow almost certainly never would have been known as a champion of political correctness. And, of course, he lived in a time not only long before that term had been coined but before the sensitivities that the concept is intended to protect had become such a part of the general social consciousness.

Put more directly, his views were not based on anything like the “jury science” applied in at least some large cases today. Instead, they were grounded in his own experiences and expressed in sweeping stereotypes. Let me share just a few passages from that article with you:

In the last analysis, most jury trials are contests between the rich and poor. If the case concerns money, it is apt to be a case of damages for injuries of some sort claimed to have been inflicted by someone. These cases usually are defended by insurance companies, railroads, or factories. If a criminal case, it is practically always the poor who are on trial. …

Let us assume that we represent one of “the underdogs” because of injuries received or because of an indictment brought by what the prosecutors name themselves, “the state.” Then, what sort of [jurors] will we seek?

An Irishman is called into the box for examination. … You should be aware that he is emotional, kindly, and sympathetic. If he is chosen as a juror, his imagination would be a defense by insurance companies, railroads, or factories. If a criminal case, it is practically always the poor who are on trial. …

Let us assume that we represent one of “the underdogs” because of injuries received or because of an indictment brought by what the prosecutors name themselves, “the state.” Then, what sort of [jurors] will we seek?

An Irishman is called into the box for examination. … You should be aware that he is emotional, kindly, and sympathetic. If he is chosen as a juror, his imagination would be a defense by insurance companies, railroads, or factories. If a criminal case, it is practically always the poor who are on trial. …
David Harris

An Englishman is not so good as an Irishman, but still, he has come through a long tradition of individual rights, and is not afraid to stand alone; in fact, he is never sure that he is right unless the great majority are against him. …

If a Presbyterian enters the jury box and carefully rolls up his umbrella and calmly and critically sits down, let him go. He is cold as the grave; he knows right from wrong, although he seldom finds anything right. … Get rid of him with the fewest possible words before he contaminates the others. …

Beware of Lutherans, especially Scandinavians; they are almost always sure to convict. Either a Lutheran or a Scandinavian is unsafe, but if both in one, plead your client guilty and go down the dock. …

Never take a wealthy man on a jury. He will convict, unless the defendant is accused of violating the anti-trust law, selling worthless stocks or bonds, or something of that kind. Next to the Board of Trade, for him, the penitentiary is the most important of public buildings. These imposing structures stand for capitalism. Civilization could not possibly exist without them.

Next, the issue has become a very visible topic of more general discussion. Consider this "Jailhouse Nation" cover story from the June 20—26, 2015, issue of The Economist. Its subtitle is "2.3 million reasons to fix America's prison problem"—referring to the total number of people currently residing in our country's prisons and jails.

It was not always this way. According to the Economist article itself, "In 1970, America's state and federal prisons together held just under 200,000 inmates"—compared, again, to 2.3 million today. That dramatic rise is the product of incarceration rates that stand out internationally. Again, according to The Economist, "Per head, the incarceration rate in the land of the free has risen seven-fold since the 1970s, and is now five times Britain's, nine times Germany's and 14 times Japan's."

Aside, perhaps, from the population of the incarcerated itself, it would be hard to find many people in 21st-century America who embrace Darrow's extreme view of prisons. Most of us believe in prisons and the people who manage them, just as we believe in police and prosecutors and sentencing judges— as institutions and individuals playing critical roles in preserving public safety, which is among any society's very highest priorities. But even for those of us whose basic attitudes about life include such law-and-order inclinations, it seems appropriate to ask whether we, as an American society, have moved along the spectrum too far in the direction of Darrow's wealthy man. That is, have we elevated the place of jails and prisons in the criminal justice system to a point where both the economic costs and the human harms outweigh whatever good is produced? Put another way, as it was by National Public Radio in mid-July (2015), "Is it possible to let more people out of prison and keep crime down?"

Respected professionals from within the criminal justice system have been raising this question for years, having seen the impact of developments like the mandatory minimum sentences and three-strikes-and-you’re-out provisions, which had swept the country. Recently, the issue has become a visible topic of more general discussion. Consider this "Jailhouse Nation" cover story from the June 20—26, 2015, issue of The Economist. Its subtitle is "2.3 million reasons to fix America’s prison problem"—referring to the total number of people currently residing in our country’s prisons and jails.

It was not always this way. According to the Economist article itself, "In 1970, America’s state and federal prisons together held just under 200,000 inmates"—compared, again, to 2.3 million today. That dramatic rise is the product of incarceration rates that stand out internationally. Again, according to The Economist, "Per head, the incarceration rate in the land of the free has risen seven-fold since the 1970s, and is now five times Britain’s, nine times Germany’s and 14 times Japan’s."

Other recent articles have focused on specific aspects of the processes leading to incarceration. For example, the August 16, 2015, cover story from The New York Times Magazine was "The Bail Trap." Its subtitle was: "Every year, thousands of innocent people are sent to jail only because they can’t afford to post bail, putting them at risk of losing their jobs, custody of their children—even their lives." The story itself goes on to examine how people who can’t afford bail are put at risk before they even have been found guilty of anything.

Probably no single event of the recent past attracted more attention to the broad issue of incarceration than President Barack Obama’s visit to the federal prison in El Reno, Okla., in mid-July. According to coverage in The New York Times, once inside its walls, this was one of his first views of prison life:

They opened the door to Cell 123, and President Obama stared inside. In a space of 9 feet by 10 feet, he saw three bunks, a toilet with no seat, a night table with books, a small sink, prison clothes on a hook, some metal cabinets and the life he might have had.

Again, quoting from coverage in The New York Times, after his visit, the president said:

"[W]e have a tendency sometimes to almost take for granted or think it’s normal" that so many young people are locked up. "It’s not normal," he said. "It’s not what happens in other countries. What is normal is teenagers doing stupid things. What is normal is young people making mistakes." …

Still, he made a distinction between them and criminals guilty of crimes like murder, rape and assault. "There are people who need to be in prison, and I don’t have tolerance for violent criminals. … [W]e need to keep our communities safe." …

As we all would expect, the fact that Obama was the first sitting president to visit a federal prison did generate a great deal of attention. More surprisingly, in this age of unrelenting partisan warfare, was the fact that his visit triggered strong public expressions of support from a range of key Republicans—championing the cause of criminal justice reform. Here are three examples from the news of that very same day:

• Speaker of the House John Boehner confirmed his desire to bring a bipartisan bill proposing criminal justice reform to the House floor. In doing so, he expressed his belief that there are people in prison who don’t need to be there and said, "I’ve long believed that there needed to be reform of our criminal justice system. Some of the people are in there under what I’ll call flimsy reasons.”

• Similarly, it was reported that Chris Christie, who had served as a U.S. attorney before being elected New Jersey’s governor, described our criminal justice system as “broken” and said, “Justice isn’t something we can jail our way to. Justice is something we have to build in our communities.”

• Perhaps most astonishing of all, The Wall Street Journal published an article bearing the headline: "Obama, Koch Brothers in Unlikely Alliance to Overhaul Criminal Justice: White House and High-dollar GOP Donors Team Up to Push for Rewrite of Federal Sentencing Laws.”

Because of the confluence of social and fiscal issues, this is a cause that already had produced unlikely allies in a range of sometimes surprising settings across the country. Consider the May 19, 2015, issue of The Washington Post, which bore the headline “Skyscoring Prison Costs Have States Targeting Recidivism, Sentencing Practices.” That article goes on to report:

It is not often that the American Civil Liberties Union and the Southern Poverty Law Center find common cause with conservative Republicans in Alabama. But on Tuesday, both sides will celebrate when [Republican] Gov. Robert Bentley signs legislation that will substantially cut the number of prisoners in state custody.

The legislation reflects a growing bipartisan consensus that a generation of tough-on-crime attitudes that dramatically increased the prison population has placed a burdensome strain on state budgets without actually achieving the goal of rehabilitating offenders. To reduce the number of offenders behind bars, both over the short and long terms, states like Alabama are reclassifying some minor crimes and spending more to make sure those who do wind up in prison don’t come back after their release.

The same article goes on to report that Texas, North Carolina, and Georgia already had passed similar reform measures and that Nebraska and Washington seemed poised to do so. Even more dramatically, in the sense that it involved direct action by the voting public, was the passage of Proposition 47 in California in November (2014). According to that state’s official voter information guide, the initiative requires that misdemeanor sentences rather than felony sentences be imposed for certain drug and property offenses, excluding any person with a prior conviction for a serious or violent crime as well as registered sex offenders; projects savings in “the high hundreds of millions of dollars annually”; and directs that those savings be spent on school tuition and dropout prevention, mental health and substance abuse treatment, and victim services. Despite opposition by some prominent law enforcement organizations, this proposition passed with nearly 60 percent of the vote.

Here in Pennsylvania, and more particularly in Allegheny County, we see trends mirroring those that have surfaced nationally. That is, we have seen significant growth in the jail population, a companion increase in the public expenditures required to maintain that population, and serious questions about the returns being generated by those investments. Fred Thieman is part of a group that has been analyzing these issues, and he is here to offer a report to you today.
A CLOSER LOOK: JAIL POPULATION IN ALLEGHENY COUNTY
FRED THIEMAN, former U.S. attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania and current president of the Buhl Foundation, offered statistics relating to the jail population in Allegheny County to help demonstrate the challenges facing the criminal justice system in our region. He noted that 22 cents out of every dollar received by our county is allocated toward the criminal justice system and that this region has seen an almost 60 percent increase in the jail population over the last 20 years. By just going back to the population from 20 years ago, Allegheny County alone could save $21 million. These local statistics mirror national trends. Thieman noted that the United States has the second-highest rate of prisoners per capita in the world (behind only the Seychelles). This rise in the jail and prison population is especially disconcerting given the simultaneous decrease in crime rates nationwide.

These trends are particularly pronounced within the African American community, where one out of every nine males age 20 to 25 is imprisoned. Those with mental illnesses also are at risk, as across the United States, 10 times as many individuals with mental illness are in prisons as are in mental institutions.

In addition, many current policies are actually counterproductive in deterring crime. For instance, lengthy pretrial detentions often result in an individual becoming more likely to commit another crime. Parole practices also present challenges; according to Thieman, the average length of stay in jail for a parole violation is 52 days. Lengthy parole periods only make these types of violations more likely. Thieman provided examples from Miami-Dade County in Florida and Cincinnati, Ohio, where reforms have made a difference in jail populations.

Thieman closed by referencing Robert Peel’s principles of effective policing and noted that when Peel created the first police force, he did so with the intention of preventing crime.

DISCUSSION OF JAILING POLICIES AND PRACTICES
Moderator DAVID HARRIS opened the discussion by asking panelists to identify, from their perspectives, what keeps people to seek treatment. One solution is to help people find treatment facilities once they leave the jail. Attendees also expressed an interest in increasing public awareness about the challenges facing the criminal justice system.

The challenges facing the criminal justice system are many. One issue is the high cost of incarceration. According to Thieman, the average length of stay in jail for a parole violation is 52 days. Lengthy parole periods only make these types of violations more likely. Thieman provided examples from Miami-Dade County in Florida and Cincinnati, Ohio, where reforms have made a difference in jail populations.

Thieman closed by referencing Robert Peel’s principles of effective policing and noted that when Peel created the first police force, he did so with the intention of preventing crime.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE WORKING SESSIONS
Attendees selected an area of interest and participated in small group discussions led by practitioners. The following table offers summaries of their discussions.

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY**
- Implementing means testing for fines and other costs would help to ease the burden on the poor; currently, these costs are often mandatory.
- More extensive pretrial diversion programs could be established for those with mental illnesses.
- Public education about the costs associated with the current criminal justice system is critical to reform; without it, there will be backlash.

**JAIL**
- Additional opportunities available through the Intermediate Punishment Program, which offers counties flexibility in their treatment of offenders arrested for certain crimes, could be explored.
- The financial burden on families of supporting someone in jail is astronomical.
- Recent court programs have shown some success, but concerns include the length of stay (people are not in long enough to benefit from the program, so it’s often easier to redirect people pretrial).

**JUDICIARY**
- Access to legal counsel at multiple points throughout a person’s journey through the criminal justice system was cited as a problem. Public defenders are usually not present until a trial date is set, and, unless an offender can afford private counsel, preliminary assignment is done without counsel present. Participants also commented that detainees cannot be lifted without the help of an attorney.
- Other challenges identified by the group included the presence of zero tolerance judges and mandatory sentencing laws that limit the district attorneys’ discretionary ability.

**PRETRIAL SERVICES**
- While the county’s pretrial services department often makes recommendations regarding the need for bail (recommendations are always nonmonetary) based on risk assessments conducted soon after an individual’s arrest, the pressure for judges to appear tough on crime can make it difficult for them to take recommendations that allow for the release of offenders on their own recognizance.
- Public education on the role of pretrial services and demonstrating the fiscal benefits to the county of their recommendations could help to relieve judges and other elected officials of the public pressure to keep certain offenders in jail awaiting trial.

**POLICE**
- Police and human service agencies need to work together more to help address the complex issues that face individuals and families that result in their becoming entangled in the criminal justice system, especially in the area of mental health.
- Individuals in the criminal justice system often lack appropriate social support networks.
- Obstacles that police face were identified, including lack of knowledge about human service agencies in proximity to the potential offender and a lack of resources to maintain the size of police force necessary to effectively prevent crime.

**PUBLIC DEFENDER**
- A lack of sufficient staff prevents assessments of general needs when offenders enter the system. Volunteers with clearances might be able to fill this role.
- Poverty was identified as a root cause of individuals’ entrance into the criminal justice system, and providing additional support services to the communities where these individuals reside might address some of the criminal behavior that results.
- Reducing recidivism and helping people leave the system permanently should be a top priority.
- Requiring ability to pay hearings, as other states do, could help reduce the burden on those in poverty.

CLOSING REMARKS
Chancellor Emeritus Nordenberg remarked that this year’s retreat helped to lay the foundation for continued efforts on two of the region’s most critical issues. Moving forward, our region needs to develop a more comprehensive understanding of these issues in order to create sound recommendations for needed reforms.
The Elsie Hillman Civic Forum (Elsie Forum) National Advisory Council, made up of 24 individuals, including cochairs EDIE SHAPIRA and JOHN DENNY, all of whom were handpicked by Hillman to oversee student programs and uphold her legacy and values, convened for the first time on October 16, 2015. The meeting began with members speaking of their experiences with and love for Hillman and sharing in a moment of silence to remember her life and legacy. The council went on to review the mission, vision, and guiding principles of the Elsie Forum and to discuss the current and developing student programs functioning under its umbrella.

In the next few weeks, the institute will be developing action steps necessary to address the concerns and ideas brought forth in the survey and discussion. The action steps will be disseminated in the spring along with a short follow-up survey to evaluate the proposed actions.

One area of interest generated by the survey involved the influence of the Institute’s publications and the desire to see them reach more of the region’s population, as public interest in and engagement with the institute’s work were seen as potential catalysts for action. Survey respondents also expressed an interest in determining how the Institute should address the increasing number of requests for the Institute to examine specific policy issues coming informally from elected officials and other community leaders rather than through the traditional policy committee structure.

In the next few weeks, the Institute will be developing action steps necessary to address the concerns and ideas brought forth in the survey and discussion. The action steps will be disseminated in the spring along with a short follow-up survey to evaluate the proposed actions.

The discussion of the meeting focused largely on the overarching goals of the Elsie Forum and the different student programs offered. Members emphasized the importance of upholding Hillman’s legacy, values, and special areas of interest as the forum moves forward with its various initiatives. The members were given an opportunity to learn about and make suggestions regarding two current programs—Legislator for a Day and the internship placement in the office of an elected official—as well as potential future programs—the Elsie Hillman Honors Scholars program, Elsie Hillman Leader in Residence program, Ambassadors for Civic Engagement program, and the Never a Spectator event. These programs are meant to serve as a catalyst in continuing Hillman’s legacy for generations to come, emphasizing her involvement in politics, community engagement, and effecting social change.

The council also heard a presentation on the Elsie H. Hillman Papers, held within the University Library System. Now available for public review, these archives hold Hillman’s collection of papers from throughout the years, demonstrating her long career in civic engagement and her influence. A select number of papers are currently available online at elsiehillman.pitt.edu, along with information regarding Hillman’s life and legacy.

For more information and updates on the activities of the Elsie Forum, please visit elsiehillmanforum.pitt.edu.
NOTES
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Innovation, Investment, and Improvement:
A Conversation about the Future of Regional Transportation in Southwestern Pennsylvania

Friday, April 22, 2016
8 a.m.–1 p.m.
William Pitt Union Ballroom
University of Pittsburgh

While there have been several key developments in infrastructure and transportation funding that have occurred over the past few years, there is still much that needs to be done to help regional transportation networks develop and finance projects that are critical to future economic development potential, health, and vitality of the communities that they serve.

This forum will feature national experts who will discuss methods that other regions across the country are using to tackle these issues.

Additionally, attendees will hear from representatives at the state, regional, and local levels regarding some innovative initiatives already underway.

We look forward to you joining us for this informative and timely discussion!

Speakers Include:
- Council of State Governments
- Pittsburgh Community Reinvestment Group
- Regional Transportation Alliance of Southwestern Pennsylvania
- Transportation for America

Registration Required:
iop.pitt.edu/Infrastructure2016
The University of Pittsburgh is an affirmative action, equal opportunity institution.

Published in cooperation with the Department of Communications Services. DCS105217-0316

Institute of Politics
710 Alumni Hall
4227 Fifth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

iop.pitt.edu

Printed on Rolland Enviro100 Print, which contains 100% post-consumer fibre, is manufactured in Canada using renewable biogas energy and is certified EcoLogo, Processed Chlorine Free and FSC® Recycled.

The University of Pittsburgh is an affirmative action, equal opportunity institution. Published in cooperation with the Department of Communications Services. DCS105217-0316